

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/517,095 Examiner Sai-Ming Chan	Applicant(s) TSATSANIS ET AL. Art Unit 2416
--	--	--

All Participants:

- (1) Sai-Ming Chan.
- (2) Charles Figer.

Date of Interview: 15 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____.
(4) _____

Time: 4:05 pm

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 5-7, 15, 19-21, 29, 33-35, 43 and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney has agreed to modify the above discussed claims. The changes will be documented in an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sai-Ming Chan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2416
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)